## Interview Summary

Application No. 09/713,545 Applicant(s)

Van Gelder et al.

Examiner

William Sandals

Group Art Unit 1636

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):
(1) William Sandals	(3)
(2) Barbara Luther, Esq.	
Date of Interview Mar 21, 2002	
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1] ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: 36-41	
Identification of prior art discussed:  None	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached	I. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the genera any other comments:	I nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
	tant application. Ms. Luther indicated that she would contact
rejection. It was agreed that we would discuss the application.	first action on the mertis with an obviousness double patenting ation further after the applicants have been contacted.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	dments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE rd of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
	·

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.